

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/389,428	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUHR ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> LIEN TM NGO	<b>Art Unit</b> 3727

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) LIEN TM NGO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ROBERT NULL (Attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 April 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

16,31,32,44, and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*LIEN NGO**W. Null*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 26, 31, 32, 44 and 49 has been indicated allowable in the previous office action. The amendment filed 3/26/04 has errors that claims 26, 31, 32, 44 and 49 are amended without all limitations of claim 22 (the base claim). Mr. Robert Null authorizes to examiner to do examiner amendment to add the limitations missing in claim 22 in the amendment in order to put the case in condition of allowance. .